Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies

ISSN (print): 2644-0490, ISSN (online): 2644-0504 Volume 08 Issue 01 January 2025 Article DOI: 10.47191/jefms/v8-i1-23, Impact Factor: 8.044 Page No: 264-272

Revolutionizing Retail: How Technology Innovation Drives Customer Experience and Market Adaptability in Indonesia

Yudi Hartanto¹, Marcellia Susan², Anny Nurbasari³

^{1,2,3}Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Bandung, West Java, 40164,

ABSTRACT: This study investigates the relationship between technology innovation, digital customer experience, and market responsiveness in Indonesia's retail industry. As the retail sector increasingly adopts omnichannel strategies, technology innovation is viewed as a critical enabler of seamless customer experiences and operational agility. This research employs a quantitative approach, utilizing Structural Equation Modeling - Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS) to analyze data collected from 150 respondents. The findings reveal that Technology Innovation significantly enhances Digital Customer Experience, which, in turn, positively impacts Market Responsiveness. The study highlights the mediating role of digital customer experience in reinforcing the link between technology innovation and market responsiveness. These results underscore the importance of leveraging technological advancements to create comprehensive omnichannel ecosystems, enabling retailers to respond swiftly and effectively to evolving consumer demands. By providing empirical insights into the interplay between technology, customer experience, and responsiveness, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on retail innovation and omnichannel strategies in Indonesia. The findings offer practical implications for retail businesses aiming to strengthen their market position through enhanced digital engagement and adaptive market strategies.

KEYWORDS: Technology Innovation, Digital Customer Experience, Market Responsiveness, Retail Industry

INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian retail industry has experienced significant transformation over the past decade, with technological advancements playing an increasingly pivotal role in reshaping consumer behavior and business operations. The proliferation of digital technologies, including e-commerce platforms, mobile applications, and AI-driven customer engagement tools, has catalyzed a paradigm shift in retail operations and customer interactions. As of 2023, Indonesia stands as one of the fastest-growing e-commerce markets in Southeast Asia, with digital retail sales projected to exceed USD 40 billion by 2025 (Statista, 2023). This rapid digital transformation is closely linked to the expansion of omnichannel retailing, where consumers demand seamless and personalized experiences across both digital and physical touchpoints. These developments underscore the critical importance of technology innovation in driving market responsiveness and enhancing the digital customer experience (DCE), two key determinants of competitive success within the retail sector.

At the core of this transformation is the concept of technology innovation, defined as the introduction and integration of novel technologies to optimize business processes and enrich customer interactions. For retailers, technology innovation constitutes a fundamental driver of enhanced customer experiences. Research by Pereira et al. (2022) indicates that technology-driven innovations, such as personalized recommendations, AI-powered chatbots, and real-time inventory management systems, have markedly improved the customer journey, fostering greater satisfaction and loyalty. Simultaneously, market responsiveness—the capacity of businesses to swiftly adapt to market dynamics and evolving consumer preferences—has emerged as a crucial competitive advantage in today's volatile retail environment. Retailers capable of leveraging technology to anticipate and address consumer needs can secure a pronounced edge over competitors exhibiting slower technological adoption (Hassan & Zhang, 2020).

Despite the operational efficiencies and consumer engagement benefits derived from technological advancements, these innovations present notable challenges for certain consumer segments, particularly those struggling to adapt to rapidly evolving digital environments. While technological innovations offer convenience and streamlined processes, some consumers encounter difficulties in navigating digital interfaces, utilizing mobile applications, and engaging with AI-driven services. This phenomenon frequently results in frustration, diminished satisfaction, and, in certain cases, brand disengagement. For instance, older



demographics or consumers residing in rural areas may face significant hurdles in transitioning from conventional shopping practices to app-based purchasing or digital payment systems. Taufik et al. (2023) emphasize that while mobile penetration in Indonesia is extensive, disparities in digital literacy persist, contributing to a digital divide that influences consumer engagement with retail innovations.

The concept of Digital Customer Experience (DCE) has garnered substantial scholarly attention as enterprises endeavor to deliver superior and personalized experiences to consumers. Tan and Lau (2020) posit that positive digital experiences, facilitated by technological innovation, not only enhance customer satisfaction but also fortify customer loyalty, thereby driving business performance. Within the retail context, the imperative to provide consistent and intuitive experiences across diverse channels— including websites, mobile applications, physical stores, and social media platforms—has become increasingly pronounced. Nevertheless, the imperative for seamless omnichannel experiences accentuates the challenges associated with consumer adaptation. A considerable proportion of consumers report difficulties in transitioning between channels, culminating in fragmented experiences and disengagement.

This disconnect raises pertinent questions concerning the capacity of retailers to harmonize technology innovation with inclusivity, ensuring that digital advancements yield benefits for all consumer segments. Although extant literature explores the direct impact of technology on customer experience and market responsiveness, limited research addresses the strategies retailers can adopt to mitigate adaptation barriers encountered by consumers. Moreover, the prevailing body of research predominantly concentrates on Western markets, underscoring the paucity of empirical studies examining Indonesia's distinctive retail landscape. This study endeavors to bridge these research gaps by investigating the influence of Technology Innovation (X) on Market Responsiveness (Y) and Digital Customer Experience (Z) within Indonesia's retail sector. Specifically, the research will focus on the barriers confronting consumers who experience challenges in adapting to novel technologies, notwithstanding the operational efficiencies and enhanced experiences these innovations afford to retailers. By elucidating the principal factors impeding consumer adaptation, this study aims to furnish actionable insights for retailers, facilitating the development of inclusive digital strategies that accommodate diverse consumer segments, thereby augmenting market responsiveness and fostering sustained consumer engagement.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Technology Innovation

Technology innovation refers to the introduction and integration of new technologies into business operations to enhance performance, productivity, and customer engagement. In the context of retail, technology innovation can include various advancements, such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and machine learning, which allow retailers to improve their operational efficiency and customer interactions (Pereira et al., 2022). Research has shown that technology innovation is not only critical for operational improvement but also a key driver of strategic differentiation in competitive markets (Taufik et al., 2023). Retailers who successfully adopt technology innovation can gain a competitive advantage by offering enhanced services, personalized experiences, and faster response times to market demands (Sung et al., 2020)

In recent years, technology innovation has also played a pivotal role in enabling omnichannel retailing, where customers can interact with retailers across multiple channels seamlessly. For instance, AI-driven systems allow customers to receive personalized recommendations based on their browsing and purchase behavior, leading to higher levels of satisfaction and increased loyalty (Hassan & Zhang, 2020). Additionally, innovations in supply chain management and real-time data analytics allow retailers to respond quickly to changes in consumer preferences and stock levels, enhancing their responsiveness to market conditions (Chen et al., 2021).

Digital Customer Experience

Digital customer experience (DCE) refers to the overall experience a customer has with a brand or retailer through digital channels, including websites, mobile apps, social media, and other online touchpoints. A seamless and personalized digital experience is now a critical factor in customer retention and brand loyalty (Tan & Lau, 2020). DCE encompasses several dimensions, including website usability, ease of navigation, personalized recommendations, and the overall convenience of engaging with the brand online. According to a study by Hassan & Zhang (2020), a positive digital experience leads to stronger customer loyalty and advocacy, which ultimately drives business performance.

Research indicates that DCE is influenced by the quality of the technology used by the retailer, as well as the ability of the brand to meet customer expectations in a fast and efficient manner (Pereira et al., 2022). For example, the integration of AI and machine

learning tools enables retailers to offer highly personalized shopping experiences, which not only increase customer satisfaction but also create a sense of connection with the brand (Taufik et al., 2023). Additionally, the ability to provide omnichannel experiences—where customers can interact with the brand seamlessly across different devices and platforms—has been shown to significantly enhance the digital customer experience (Sung et al., 2020).

Market Responsiveness

Market responsiveness refers to a retailer's ability to quickly adapt to changes in market conditions, consumer preferences, and competitive dynamics. In a rapidly evolving market such as retail, responsiveness is crucial to maintaining competitiveness. Retailers that can adapt quickly to market demands and shifting trends are better positioned to attract and retain customers (Hassan & Zhang, 2020). According to Chen et al. (2021), market responsiveness is closely tied to a company's ability to leverage real-time data and technology to adjust its offerings and services quickly.

Technology innovation plays a significant role in enhancing market responsiveness. For instance, the adoption of advanced analytics and real-time data allows retailers to anticipate consumer demand and adjust their inventory accordingly, which is especially crucial in the context of the retail supply chain (Tan & Lau, 2020). Additionally, technology allows retailers to monitor customer behaviors and feedback in real time, enabling them to adjust marketing strategies, product offerings, and customer service approaches to better meet the needs of the market (Pereira et al., 2022).

The Relationship between Technology Innovation and Digital Customer Experience

Technology innovation significantly enhances the Digital Customer Experience (DCE) by integrating advanced technologies that elevate customer interactions and improve service quality. Technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and machine learning empower retailers to deliver personalized and efficient experiences, fostering stronger customer engagement and satisfaction (Pereira et al., 2022). AI-driven systems, for example, can generate customized product recommendations based on prior purchasing patterns, promoting greater customer loyalty (Hassan & Zhang, 2020). Additionally, the integration of innovative technologies like the creation of omnichannel experiences, ensuring seamless interactions across multiple retail platforms. Technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT) and real-time data analytics help synchronize customer touchpoints, resulting in a fluid and cohesive journey (Sung et al., 2020). This highlights that advanced technological adoption by retailers directly correlates with elevated digital experiences for consumers.

H1: There is a direct relationship between technology innovation and market responsiveness.

The Relationship between Technology Innovation and Market Responsiveness

Technology innovation drives market responsiveness by enabling retailers to promptly adapt to changing market conditions and consumer needs. Tools such as predictive analytics and AI-driven supply chain management facilitate real-time tracking of consumer preferences, allowing retailers to adjust inventory and services efficiently (Chen et al., 2021). By leveraging advanced technologies, retailers can anticipate market demand and reduce stock-related risks, enhancing their ability to respond swiftly to market shifts (Tan & Lau, 2020). Consequently, technology innovation not only streamlines operational processes but also reinforces the agility required to thrive in a competitive retail landscape (Taufik et al., 2023).

H2: There is a relationship between digital customer experience and market responsiveness.

The Relationship between Digital Customer Experience and Market Responsiveness

A superior Digital Customer Experience (DCE) enhances market responsiveness by offering valuable insights into evolving customer preferences and behaviors. Positive digital experiences cultivate stronger customer engagement, providing retailers with critical feedback necessary for adapting to market trends (Hassan & Zhang, 2020). Customers who experience seamless and personalized digital interactions are more likely to remain loyal and actively participate in brand-related activities, generating data that informs responsive strategies (Tan & Lau, 2020). Furthermore, an omnichannel approach ensures retailers can detect and react to shifts in purchasing patterns, fostering greater adaptability to market demands (Pereira et al., 2022).

H3: There is a relationship between technology innovation and digital customer experience.

The Relationship Between Technology Innovation, Digital Customer Experience, and Market Responsiveness

The relationship between technology innovation (X) and digital customer experience (Z) has been well-documented in the literature. Research indicates that technology innovation directly enhances the digital customer experience by enabling personalized interactions, improving website and mobile app functionality, and optimizing customer service (Hassan & Zhang, 2020). As retailers incorporate innovative technologies, such as AI, IoT, and machine learning, into their operations, they can deliver more tailored and efficient experiences to customers, thereby fostering greater satisfaction and loyalty (Taufik et al., 2023). Moreover, technology innovation also contributes to market responsiveness (Y), both directly and indirectly through its impact on

digital customer experience (Z). Directly, technology innovation enables retailers to adjust to market changes in real time, enhancing their ability to meet consumer demands and stay competitive (Chen et al., 2021). For example, the use of big data analytics helps retailers track and respond to shifts in consumer preferences, while AI and machine learning allow for dynamic pricing and personalized promotions, all of which contribute to improved market responsiveness (Pereira et al., 2022). Indirectly, technology innovation influences market responsiveness through its effect on digital customer experience. A positive DCE, facilitated by innovative technologies, encourages customers to engage more with the brand, which, in turn, provides retailers with valuable data on customer preferences and behavior. This data can be used to enhance market responsiveness, enabling retailers to refine their offerings and strategies based on real-time consumer feedback and trends (Sung et al., 2020). Thus, technology innovation not only drives a better digital experience for customers but also enhances the retailer's ability to respond to changing market dynamics, ultimately boosting performance and competitiveness.

H4: There is an indirect relationship between technology innovation and market responsiveness through the mediation of digital customer experience.

This literature review highlights the interconnectedness of technology innovation, digital customer experience, and market responsiveness in the retail industry. As retailers in Indonesia and globally increasingly rely on technology to enhance customer experiences and remain responsive to market changes, understanding the relationships between these variables is crucial for developing effective strategies. The integration of innovative technologies can lead to improved customer engagement, satisfaction, and loyalty, while simultaneously enhancing the agility and competitiveness of retail businesses. This study aims to further explore these relationships and provide empirical insights into how technology innovation influences market responsiveness and digital customer experience in Indonesia's retail sector.



Figure 1. Research Model

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study will employ Structural Equation Modeling - Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS) to analyze data from 200 respondents in Indonesia, all of whom are consumers and retail customers. By testing the relationships between these variables, the research will offer valuable insights into how technology innovation impacts digital customer experience and, subsequently, enhances market responsiveness. Given the rapidly evolving retail landscape and the significant role of technology in shaping consumer expectations, this research will contribute to the understanding of how Indonesian retailers can leverage innovation to not only improve customer experience but also stay competitive in an increasingly dynamic market.

Variable Measurement

In this study, each questionnaire item is measured using a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 to 5, with point 1 indicating that respondents strongly disagree, while point 5 indicates that respondents strongly agree with the statement. This study uses the variables Technology Innovation, Market Responsiveness, and Digital Customer Experience. The research instrument for data collection was developed based on previous relevant studies as follows:

- 1. Technology Innovation is measured using 4 indicator items adopted from Sung Y, Chang (2020).
- 2. Market Responsiveness is measured using 4 indicator items adopted from Kasper Chen, H., Liu, M., & Xie, Y. (2021).
- 3. Digital Customer Experience is measured using 6 indicator items adopted from Hassan, H. R., & Zhang, Q. (2020).

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondent Profile

Table 1. Respondent Characteristic

Respondent Characteristic	Total	
Gender		
Male	124	
Female	76	
Age		
21 – 30 years	35	
30 – 40 years	142	
> 40 years	23	
Education		
High School	45	
Bachelor Degree	115	
Master Degree	40	

Source : Data Processing (2024)

Based on the results of obtaining data based on the characteristics of the respondents, this study found that the majority of respondents were male, as many as 124 people from the questionnaires that had been distributed. Based on age, the majority of respondents are aged 30-40 years as many as 142 people. Then based on the latest education, the majority of respondents have the latest education up to the Bachelor level as many as 115 people.

Validity and Reliability Test

To ascertain whether the indicators used by each variable in this study are in accordance with validity and reliability standards, Outer loadings, Average Variance Extracted (AVE), Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability are measured. Outer Loadings and Average Variance Extracted values can be used to determine whether an indicator is reliable for measuring latent variables, while Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Dependability parameters are used to measure reliability. It can be said to be valid if the outer loadings and AVE values are more than 0.50. Indicators are considered reliable if Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability are both more than 0.700. The following table shows that each parameter meets the approval requirements:

Table 2. Validity and Reliability Measurement

Variabel	Indikator	Outer Loadings	Average Variance Extracted	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability
	T 1	0.712	0.623	0.796	0.810
Technology Innovative	ΤΙ2	0.718			
	Т I З	0.705			
	T I 4	0.689			
	M R 1	0.723		0.848	0.893
	M R 2	0.719			
Market	M R 3	0.699	0.659		
Responsiveness	M R 4	0.711			
	M R 5	0.803			
	M R 6	0.795			
	DCE 1	0.724	0.994	0.815	0.832
Digital Customer	DCE 2	0.769			
Experience	DCE 3	0.872	0.884		
	DCE 4	0.751			
Source : Data Processing	(2024)				

Source : Data Processing (2024)

Coefficient of Determination

The coefficient of determination shows how much influence exogenous variables have on endogenous variables. The coefficient of determination for the Market Responsiveness test is 0.472. Thus, employee creativity has an influence of 47.2% on Market Responsiveness. The coefficient of determination is 0.587 which indicates that Technology Innovation has an influence of 58.7% on Digital Customer Experience. The following table shows the coefficient of determination of the causal relationship between exogenous factors and endogenous variables:

Table 3. Determinant Coefficient

Variabel	R-square	R Square Adj		
Market Responsiveness	0.472	0.461		
Digital Customer Experience	0.587	0.535		
Comment Data Data Data 2024				

Source: Data Processing, 2024

Test of Causality Model

If the causality hypothesis has a p-value < 0.05 alpha value, then the hypothesis is acceptable. Data showing that all alternative hypotheses can be accepted significantly are obtained from the findings of the test results. The following are the results of the interpretation of the findings of the causality test model:

- 1. Technology Innovation has a significant effect on Market Responsiveness of 0.810 and p Values 0.000 < 0.05 (H1 is accepted).
- 2. Technology Innovation has a significant effect on Digital Customer Experience of 0.397 and p Values 0.002 <0.05 (H2 accepted).
- 3. Digital Customer Experience has a significant effect on Market Responsiveness of 0.496 and p Values 0.000 < 0.05 (H3 accepted).

Table 4. Causality Model Testing Results

	Causality Model	Path Coefficients	T Statistics	P Values
	Technology Innovation \rightarrow Market	0.910	16 220	0.000
	Responsiveness (H1)	0.810	10.520	0.000
	Technology Innovation \rightarrow Digital Customer	ner 0.397	3.685	0.002
	Experience (H ₂)			
	Digital Customer Experience \rightarrow Market	cet 0.496	5.843	0.000
	Responsiveness (H ₃)			0.000
Ĩ	Source: Data Dragossing (2024)			

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Mediator Variable Test

The purpose of this test is to identify the function of the mediator variable in mediating the causal relationship between exogenous factors and endogenous factors. The Sobel test result of 3.905 is greater than the z table of 1.96 with a probability value of 0.003 <0.05. That is, it shows that the Digital Customer Experience variable can be a mediator that has a significant effect on the relationship between Technology Innovation and Market Responsiveness. This shows that hypothesis 4 can be accepted.

Table 5. Specific Indirect Effects

Variable	Specific Effects	Indirect	Sobel Statistics	Test	p
Technology Innovation> Digital Customer Experience>					
Market Responsiveness	0.234		3.905		0,003

Source: Data Processing (2024)

All alternative hypotheses in this study can be accepted at a significance level of 5% based on the findings of the tests that have been carried out. The figure below illustrates the measurement model used in this study



Figure 2. Output Structural Model Evaluation

DISCUSSION

The results of testing the proposed hypotheses prove that all hypotheses proposed in this study can be accepted. In the first and second hypotheses, researchers tested the direct effect of Technology Innovation on Market Responsiveness and Digital Customer Experience. The findings of this study indicate that Technology Innovation has a significant effect on both variables. The results of this study support the findings of Eisele (2020), that in a study that measured individual-level creativity requirements to complement employee creativity requirements related to job satisfaction. Job-required creativity is more related to proximal job characteristics than to distal characteristics. Findings from other research conducted by Chon & Sitkin 2021), that Digital Customer Experience is based on strengths as one of the most important external manifestations of self-awareness and can stimulate employee creativity. Another study reported that there is a relationship between Digital Customer Experience and Market Responsiveness satisfaction. Individuals who make work feel more committed to doing their work-related tasks, so the level of Market Responsiveness will be higher (Alonso & Gabriela, 2019; Ghitulescu, 2006).

CONCLUSION

- 1. The findings of this study affirm the significant role of technology innovation in enhancing market responsiveness and digital customer experience within the Indonesian retail sector. The acceptance of all proposed hypotheses highlights the pivotal influence of technology-driven advancements in shaping retail dynamics.
- 2. The result indicate that technology innovation directly contributes to improving market responsiveness, enabling retailers to swiftly adapt to evolving consumer demands and market fluctuations. Concurrently, technology innovation enhances the digital customer experience by fostering personalized, seamless, and engaging interactions across multiple platforms. These findings align with prior studies, such as Eisele (2020), which underscore the importance of creativity at the individual level in enhancing job satisfaction and aligning with employee creativity requirements.
- 3. Moreover, this study corroborates the work of Chon and Sitkin (2021), demonstrating that digital customer experience not only enhances consumer engagement but also stimulates employee creativity, reinforcing organizational performance. Additionally, the relationship between digital customer experience and market responsiveness is consistent with the research of Alonso and Gabriela (2019) and Ghitulescu (2006), suggesting that higher engagement and commitment to digital interactions drive greater responsiveness and operational agility.
- 4. Overall, this study emphasizes the transformative power of technology innovation in fostering competitive advantage in Indonesia's retail industry. However, it also highlights the necessity for inclusive strategies to address consumer segments that face difficulties in adapting to technological advancements. By bridging the gap between innovation and user adaptability, retailers can optimize customer satisfaction, strengthen market responsiveness, and ensure sustainable growth in an increasingly digital landscape.

LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Despite the valuable insights provided by this study, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the study primarily focuses on the Indonesian retail sector, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other industries or geographic regions with different technological adoption rates and consumer behaviors. The unique socio-cultural and economic factors influencing digital transformation in Indonesia may not fully reflect conditions in other markets. Second, while the study examines the direct effects of technology innovation on market responsiveness and digital customer experience, it does not extensively explore potential mediating or moderating variables that could further explain these relationships. Factors such as organizational culture, employee digital literacy, and infrastructure readiness may play a critical role in shaping the effectiveness of technology-driven initiatives but were not directly assessed in this research. Third, the data collection process relied on self-reported measures, which may introduce response bias or inaccuracies in reflecting actual consumer experiences and market performance. Future research could benefit from incorporating longitudinal data or objective performance indicators to validate and enhance the robustness of the findings. Lastly, the study predominantly addresses consumers who actively engage with digital platforms, potentially overlooking the perspectives of less tech-savvy individuals or those in rural areas with limited access to technology. This highlights the need for further investigation into inclusive digital strategies that bridge the digital divide and ensure equitable access to technological advancements across diverse consumer segments.

REFERENCES

- 1) Chen, H., Liu, M., & Xie, Y. (2021). The impact of market responsiveness on customer loyalty: Evidence from the retail sector. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 58, 102305.
- 2) Hassan, H. R., & Zhang, Q. (2020). Digital customer experience and its impact on customer loyalty: A study of the retail sector. Journal of Business Research, 112, 304-315.
- 3) Pereira, P., Rodrigues, A., & Silva, J. (2022). Omnichannel strategies in the digital age: The role of technology in shaping consumer experiences. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 50(6), 453-471.
- 4) Sung, Y., Chang, J., & Lee, M. (2020). The role of technology in enhancing market responsiveness in retail: A comprehensive review. Journal of Business Research, 107, 315-325.
- 5) Taufik, A., Salim, A., & Setiawan, S. (2023). Trends in omnichannel retailing in Southeast Asia: A case study of Indonesia. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 35(3), 678-691.
- 6) Tan, G., & Lau, S. (2020). Technology innovation and its impact on customer experience: Evidence from retail stores. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 56, 102113.
- 7) Hapsari, A. Y., Hurriyati, R., Hendrayati, H., Arfiansyah, F., & Johan, A. (2024). Exploring the Landscape: A Bibliometric Analysis of Youth Engagement in Fitness Research and It's Marketing Ramifications. *International Journal of Economics Development Research (IJEDR)*, *5*(2), 1208-1224.
- 8) Alsaid, M., Jones, K., & Smith, R. (2023). Consumer behavior in the digital age: The shift to omnichannel shopping. *Journal* of *Retail and Consumer Services*, 52, 102312.
- 9) Avolio, B. J., & Kahai, S. S. (2003). Adding the 'E' to E-Leadership: How it may impact your leadership. Organizational Dynamics, 31(4), 325-338.
- 10) Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. (2015). Process management, technological innovation, and organizational adaptation. In Business process transformation (pp. 333-342). Routledge.
- 11) Balaji, S., Chakravarthy. (1982). Adaptation: A Promising Metaphor for Strategic Management. Academy of Management Review, 7(1):35-44.
- 12) Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership. Sage Publications.
- 13) Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational Leadership. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 14) Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis with Special Reference to Education*. University of Chicago Press.
- 15) Block, J., Hansen, C., & Steinmetz, H. (2023). Are family firms doing more innovation output with less innovation input? A replication and extension. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 47(4), 1496-1520.
- 16) Brown, S. (2014). The role of challenging work in fostering adaptability: A longitudinal study. *Human Resource Management Review*, 24(1), 9-18.
- 17) Brown, S. (2014). Technology Innovation and Organizational Adaptation. Journal of Business Research.

- 18) Chesbrough, H. (2003). *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- 19) Christopher, Boon, Sung, Teh. (2023). Adapting the retail business model to omnichannel strategy: A supply chain management perspective. Journal of Business Logistics
- 20) Damanpour, F., & Gopalakrishnan, S. (2001). The dynamics of the adoption of product and process innovations in organizations. Journal of Management Studies, 38(1), 45-65.
- 21) de Moura Souza, J., Rocha, R. A., & Silva, A. P. (2023). Digital leadership and strategic adaptation: The role of digital transformation in retail. *Management Decision*, 59(4), 915-931.
- 22) de Moura Souza, L., & Benitez, J. (2023). Leadership and Innovation in the Digital Era: An Empirical Study. *Business Leadership Review*.
- 23) Deloitte. (2016). Digital leadership in the era of digital transformation. Deloitte Insights.
- 24) Dewi, R. S., Alhabsji, T., Arifin, Z., & Abdillah, Y. (2020). Adaptive capability: Capability to create innovation and competitive advantages of SME's in the industry 4.0 era. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 11(2), 124-143.
- 25) Duran, P., Kammerlander, N., Van Essen, M., & Zellweger, T. (2016). Doing more with less: Innovation input and output in family firms. Academy of management Journal, 59(4), 1224-1264.
- 26) Erhan, T., Uzunbacak, H. H., & Aydin, E. (2022). From conventional to digital leadership: exploring digitalization of leadership and innovative work behavior. Management Research Review, 45(11), 1524-1543.
- 27) Hamid, Shafizadeh. (2024). Decision-Making Under Uncertainty: How Organizations Adapt to Environmental Changes?.3(1):4-10.
- 28) Hänninen, M., Smedlund, A., & Mitronen, L. (2021). Digitalization in retail: New strategies for omnichannel success. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 59, 102398.
- 29) Hänninen, M., et al. (2021). The Transformation of Retail: Omnichannel Strategies and Consumer Experience. *Retail Management Journal*.
- 30) Hana, U. (2013). Competitive advantage achievement through innovation and knowledge. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 5(1), 82-96.
- 31) Kodden, B. (2020). The Ability to Adapt. In: The Art of Sustainable Performance. SpringerBriefs in Business. Springer, Cham.
- 32) Kotter, J. P. (1996). Leading Change. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Megan, Seibel., Eric, K., Kaufman., D., Adam, Cletzer., Jeremy, Elliott-Engel. (2023). Advancing adaptive leadership through adaption-innovation theory: enhancements to the holding environment. Journal of Leadership Studies, 17(1):23-29.
- 34) Pulakos, E. D., Arad, S., Donovan, M. A., & Plamondon, K. E. (2000). Adaptability in the Workplace: Development of Taxonomy of Adaptive Performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 85, 612-624.
- 35) Qi, L., Wang, X., & Zhang, W. (2023). Omnichannel retailing: Integrating digital and physical channels. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(1), 16-32.
- 36) Robson, K. (2020). The evolving competitive landscape: Traditional retailers vs. e-commerce giants. *Journal of Business Research*, 107, 178-186.
- 37) Teece, D. J. (2007). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management. Oxford University Press.
- 38) Tiwari, A. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Digital Leadership in Retail. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Business.
- 39) Türk, C. (2023). The Role of Digital Leadership in Technology Adoption. Journal of Digital Business Leadership.
- 40) Türk, K. (2023). The necessity of digital leadership in non-tech companies: A focus on retail. *Journal of Digital and Social Media Marketing*, 11(2), 214-223.
- 41) Yansen, Y., & Yujie, Z. (2023). The Impact of Transformative Digital Leadership on Organizational Innovation: A Case Study of Successful Digital Transformation. International Journal Of Advanced Research In Technology And Innovation, 5(1), 57-71.



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.